## MATH 201: LINEAR ALGEBRA HOMEWORK DUE FRIDAY WEEK 8

Problem 1. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Find elementary matrices  $E_1, \ldots, E_\ell$  such that  $E_\ell \cdots E_2 E_1 A$  is the reduced echelon form of A. (Check your work.)
- (b) Compute  $\det A$  via row operations.
- (c) Compute  $\det A$  via permutation expansion.<sup>1</sup>
- (d) Compute  $\det A$  via Laplace expansion (along a row or column of your choosing).

*Problem* 2. In this exercise, we will prove that the determinant is multiplicative, that is, that for  $n \times n$  matrices A and B,

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B).$$

We break the problem into two parts, depending on whether det(B) is zero or nonzero.

(a) First, let B be a fixed  $n \times n$  matrix over F such that  $det(B) \neq 0$ . Consider the function

$$d: M_{n \times n}(F) \longrightarrow F$$

defined by  $d(A) = \det(AB)/\det(B)$ . (Hint: the answer to the following set of questions should follow trivially from associativity of matrix multiplication. Make sure to mention why in your solution.)

- (i) Let E be the  $n \times n$  elementary matrix obtained from the identity matrix by swapping two rows. Show that d(EA) = -d(A).
- (ii) Let *E* be the  $n \times n$  elementary matrix obtained from the identity matrix by scaling a row by a scalar  $\lambda$ . Show that  $d(EA) = \lambda d(A)$ .
- (iii) Let *E* be the  $n \times n$  elementary matrix obtained from the identity matrix by adding a scalar multiple of one row to another. Show that d(EA) = d(A).
- (iv) Show that  $d(I_n) = 1$ .
- (b) It remains to be shown that det(AB) = det(A) det(B) when det(B) = 0. Fix any n × n matrix B such that det(B) = 0. Our goal is to show det(AB) = det(A) det(B) = 0. Recall that the kernel (nullity) of a linear function f: V → W is the subspace of V defined by

$$\ker(f) := \{ v \in V : f(v) = 0 \},\$$

and that the image (range) of f is the subspace of W defined by

$$im(f) := \{f(v) : v \in V\}.$$

The *rank* of f is the dimension of im(f). If A is any matrix representing f (with respect to some choice of bases for V and W), then we have seen that the rank of A, defined as the dimension of its row or column space, equals the rank of f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Many of the entries in this matrix are 0. You are free to compute fewer than the standard 4! = 24 terms in the permutation expansion as long as you clearly explain which permutations need not be included.

(i) Let  $f\colon V\to W$  and  $g\colon W\to U$  be linear transformations of finite dimensional vector spaces over F. Show that

 $\ker(f)\subseteq \ker(g\circ f) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{im}(g\circ f)\subseteq \operatorname{im}(g).$ 

(Recall that to show an inclusion of sets  $A \subseteq B$ , we start with: "Let  $a \in A$ ", do some math, and conclude with "Thus,  $a \in B$ .")

- (ii) Use part (a) to prove that  $rank(g \circ f) \leq rank(f)$  and  $rank(g \circ f) \leq rank(g)$ . (*Hint:* For one of them you might need to use the rank-nullity theorem.)
- (iii) Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix over F, and B an  $n \times p$  matrix over F. Use what you have already shown to prove that  $\operatorname{rank}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank}(A)$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rank}(B)$ .
- (iv) Using the previous parts of this problem, prove that if A and B are  $n \times n$  matrices such that either det(A) = 0 or det(B) = 0, then det(AB) = 0.

Problem 3. Read the attached exposition on Cramer's rule before attempting this problem.

(a) Consider the  $3 \times 3$  system of equations over the real numbers:

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}1&2&3\\2&0&2\\0&1&2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{r}x_1\\x_2\\x_3\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{r}4\\0\\2\end{array}\right).$$

Use Cramer's rule to compute  $x_2$ . (You may assume the system is consistent.)

(b) Consider the following matrix over the complex numbers:

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{rrrr} 1+i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 1-i \end{array} \right).$$

Compute each entry of  $\operatorname{adj}(A)$  by hand, and then use the formula coming from Cramer's rule to compute  $A^{-1}$ .

## CRAMER'S RULE

Let A be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix, and let  $b \in F^n$ . Consider the  $n \times n$  system of linear equations Ax = bwhere x is the column vector with entries  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ . For each  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , let  $M_j$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix formed by replacing the *j*-th column of of A by b. Cramer's rule says that the solution to the system is given by

$$x_j = \frac{\det(M_j)}{\det(A)},$$

for j = 1, ..., n.

Example 1. Consider the system of equation

$$ax + by = s$$
$$cx + dy = t$$

In matrix form, we write the system as

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\c&d\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}x\\y\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}s\\t\end{array}\right).$$

Assume the determinant of  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is nonzero, and apply Cramer's rule:

$$\det(M_1) = \det \begin{pmatrix} s & b \\ t & d \end{pmatrix} = sd - bt.$$

$$\det(M_2) = \det \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & s \\ c & t \end{array}\right) = at - sc.$$

By Cramer's rule, the solution to the system is

$$x = \frac{\det(M_1)}{\det(A)} = \frac{sd - bt}{ad - bd}$$

$$y = \frac{\det(M_2)}{\det(A)} = \frac{at - sc}{ad - bc}$$

Let's check this solution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&b\\c&d\end{array}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \left(\begin{array}{cc}d&-b\\-c&a\end{array}\right).$$

Hence, the solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} ds - bt \\ -cs + ta \end{pmatrix}.$$

This agrees with the solution we calculated using Cramer's rule.

**Cramer's rule and inverses.** Suppose that A is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix. To find the inverse of A, we need to find a matrix X such that  $AX = I_n$ . Finding the *j*-th column of X is the same as solving the system  $Ax = e_j$ , where  $e_j$  is the *j*-th standard basis vector. We can then solve for x using Cramer's rule n times—once for each column. We now describe the resulting formula for the inverse of A. First, some notation: for each  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  let  $A^{ji}$  be the  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrix formed by removing the *j*-th row and *i*-th column of A. Next, define the *adjugate* of A, denoted adj(A) by

$$(\mathrm{adj}(A))_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A^{ji}).$$

The scalar  $(-1)^{i+j} \det(A^{ji})$  is called the *ji-th cofactor of A*. Note that we are defining the *ij*-th entry of the adjugate using the *ji*-th cofactor—the indices reverse order.

Cramer's rule applied to the problem of finding the inverse then gives the following important formula:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A).$$

Example 2. As a simple example of Cramer's formula for the inverse, let

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right)$$

In this case, each  $A^{ji}$  is a  $1 \times 1$  matrix. We get

$$(\mathrm{adj}(A))_{11} = (-1)^{1+1} \det(A^{11}) = \det([d]) = d$$
$$(\mathrm{adj}(A))_{12} = (-1)^{1+2} \det(A^{21}) = -\det([b]) = -b$$
$$(\mathrm{adj}(A))_{21} = (-1)^{2+1} \det(A^{12}) = -\det([c]) = -c$$
$$(\mathrm{adj}(A))_{22} = (-1)^{2+2} \det(A^{22}) = \det([a]) = a.$$

Thus, Cramer's formula gives the formula for the inverse of A we used earlier:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}\operatorname{adj}(A) = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Cramer's rule: continuity of solutions and of the inverse.** In general, Cramer's rule is not a timeefficient or numerically stable way to compute the solution to a system of equations. However, it is theoretically useful as we see from the following immediate corollaries of the rule:

**Theorem.** Let F be  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$  denote the set of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices over F. (1) The function

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(F) \longrightarrow F$$
  
 $A \longmapsto A^{-1}$ 

is a continuous function. In other words, the inverse of A is a continuous function of the entries of A. (2) The solution x to the system Ax = b is a continuous function of the entries of A and b.

*Proof.* For part (1), it suffices to show that the entries of  $A^{-1}$  are rational functions (i.e., quotients of polynomials) in the entries of A (with denominators that do not vanish for invertible A). But this follow's immediately from Cramer's rule:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \operatorname{adj}(A)$$

The function  $A \mapsto \det(A) \in F$  is a polynomial in the entries of A (consider the permutation or Laplace expansion of the determinant), hence continuous. Hence, restricted to invertible matrices, the function  $A \mapsto$ 

 $1/\det(A)$ , which gives the denominators of the entries of  $A^{-1}$ , is continuous. Similarly, the entries of  $\operatorname{adj}(A)$  are polynomials in the entries of A. The result follows.

Part (2) follows since Ax = b implies  $x = A^{-1}b$ . We've just seen that the entries of  $A^{-1}$  are quotients of polynomials in the entries of A, hence the components of x are quotients of polynomials in the entries af A on b.